arXiv:1512.07737v1 [hep-th] 24 Dec 2015

EPHOU-15-019

December 25, 2015

Mirror Symmetry of Minimal Calabi-Yau Manifolds

Hideyuki Kawada[1], **Takahiro Masuda**[2] **and Hisao Suzuki**[1]

[1] *Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0810, Japan*

[2] *Hokkaido University of Science, Sapporo 006-8585, Japan*

Abstract

We perform the mirror transformations of Calabi-Yau manifolds with one moduli whose Hodge numbers (h^{11}, h^{21}) are minimally small. Since the difference of Hodge numbers is the generation of matter fields in superstring theories made of compactifications, minimal Hodge numbers of the model of phenomenological interest are (1,4). Genuine minimal Calabi-Yau manifold which has least degrees of freedom for Kähler and complex deformation is (1,1) model. With help of *Mathematica* and *Maple*, we derive Picard-Fuchs equations for periods, and determine their monodromy behaviors completely such that all monodromy matrices are consistent in the mirror prescription of the model (1,4), (1,3) and (1,1). We also discuss to find the description for each mirror of (1,3) and (1,1) by combining invariant polynomials of variety on which (1,5) model is defined. The genus 0 instanton numbers coming from mirror transformations in above models look reasonable. We propose the weighted discriminant for genus 1 instanton calculus which makes all instanton numbers integral, except (1,1) case.

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Minimal model for three generations **3**

2.1 Mirror prescription 4

2.2 Monodromy 7

2.3 Bi-linear form 9

2.4 Instanton calculation 11

3 Mirror transformations of (1,5), (1,3), (1,1) model **14**

3.1 Six manifolds with quaternionic symmetry 14

3.2 Mirror transformation of (1,5) 16

3.3 A candidacy model as a mirror of (1,3) 19

3.4 Mirror transformation of minimal model (1,1) 24

4 Conclusion and Discussions **28**

1 Introduction

A Calabi-Yau manifold is partially characterized by the Hodge numbers (h^{11}, h^{21}). These are topological numbers which count the number of parameters that deform the Kähler class and the complex structure of the manifold. Recently, Calabi-Yau manifolds are paid attention where both Hodge numbers (h^{11}, h^{21}) are small [1, 2, 3]. Many Calabi-Yau manifolds with various Hodge numbers are provided by construction with hypersurfaces in weighted projective spaces or in toric varieties. However manifolds admitting freely-acting discrete symmetry seem to be rare [4]. Models with small Hodge numbers have been found to classify all the freely acting symmetries for the manifolds [2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Besides the way of constructions, the Hodge numbers for the models with symmetry of order four have been calculated recently [10].

Phenomenologically, it is interesting to search string theories with three generations compactified on Calabi-Yau manifolds with small Hodge numbers. Especially model (1,4) with $\chi = -6$ is the minimal theory which have been discussed in [3, 11]. Theoretically, it is worth investigating the case with minimal Hodge numbers $(1, 1)$ found in [7], whose enumerative property is not clear so far. These models are made by taking quotient of freely acting symmetry groups, so that Hodge numbers become both small [2, 3, 4, 7], however their defining equations turn out to be complicated. In these cases, it is not obvious to carry out ordinary systematic calculation to derive Picard-Fuchs equation, and to perform the mirror transformation to calculate the instanton corrections.

In this paper, we attend to investigate the mirror transformations for one moduli models with small Euler numbers $|\chi| \leq 8$ with aid of computer algebra systems *Mathematica* and *Maple*. Using *Mathematica* package "Generationgfunctions", we derive the Picard-Fuchs equation in such models. Monodromy behaviors are determined by numerical integration on *Maple* [12]. In order to determine the symplectic basis of periods, as well as, topological indices of such models, we evaluate bi-linear form on periods numerically [13, 14]. To check the consistency of the results, we calculate the genus 0 and 1 instanton numbers to be integral values. Also it is interesting to investigate the relation between the models with $(1, h^{21})$ where $h^{21} < 6$, and their mirrors.

Picard-Fuchs equations for periods of Calabi-Yau three-folds have been studied extensively, and many kinds of equations have been found already in physical or mathematical contexts. Restricted to one moduli case, the automated search for 4th order Picard-Fuchs equations of Calabi-Yau type with maximally unipotent monodromy have been carried out[15], and vast results including previously found operators have been summarized in "Calabi-Yau Operators Database" on the web site [15]. Picard-Fuchs equations we derive in this paper are found in the database.

In section 2, we review mirror model of (1,4) which is known example. From the evaluation of periods, we find Picard-Fuchs equation. Bi-linear form on periods gives the characteristic numbers, such as Euler number, Yukawa coupling K, c_2, to suggest the way to determine the basis of monodromy. Instanton calculations of genus 1 as well as genus 0 are

performed by using mirror map so that all instanton numbers becomes integral, where we propose weighted discriminant for 1-loop level determined from behaviors around singular points. In section 3, we investigate the sequence of manifolds with small Hodge numbers such as mirror models of (1,5), (1,3), (1,1). Starting from the invariant polynomials for (1,5) model, and choosing suitable combinations of them, we propose the definition of mirror models of (1,5), (1,3), (1,1) respectively. The mirror transformations in these models can be carried out in similar ways in section 2. The results about monodromy behaviors and instanton numbers are all consistent, except that instanton numbers for minimal model (1,1) look strange.

2 Minimal model for three generations

As an examples of the Calabi-Yau manifold with small Hodge numbers (h^{11}, h^{21}), we investigate the model with (1,4), and its mirror, which were found in [2, 3]. This Calabi-Yau manifold is constructed from $X^{8,44}$, and was found in the course of the project to classify all the freely acting symmetries for the manifolds of the CICY list. Original space $X^{8,44}$ has Euler number -72, and is invariant under freely acting group G whose order is 12. As is explained in [3], quotient variety $X^{8,44}/G$ is smooth and has Euler number $\chi = -72/12 = -6$. The definition of this model consists of following three curves on six manifolds

$$\begin{aligned} &p = 1 + s_0s_1 + s_1s_2 + s_2s_0, \quad q = 1 + t_0t_1 + t_1t_2 + t_2t_0, \\ &r = s_0s_1s_2t_0t_1t_2 + c_1(s_0t_0 + s_1t_1 + s_2t_2) + c_2(s_0t_1 + s_1t_2 + s_2t_0) \\ &\quad + c_3(s_0t_2 + s_1t_0 + s_2t_1) + c_4(s_1s_1s_2(t_0 + t_1 + t_2) + (s_0 + s_1 + s_2)t_0t_1t_2), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where c_i are four kinds of moduli parameters.

This is a minimal model of string theory with three generations compactified on Calabi-Yau manifold with $\chi = -6$. Phenomenological aspects about of this model were discussed in detail in [3, 11].

2.1 Mirror prescription

Toric description of this model is also given in [3], and alternative defining curve consists of four parameter family of invariant Laurent polynomials in terms of homogeneous coordinates made of polyhedron Δ as

$$f = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} \gamma_i Q_i \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1} + t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2} + t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3} + t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1} + \frac{t_3}{t_4} + \frac{t_4}{t_3}, \\
Q_2 &= (t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1})(t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3}) + (t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4})(\frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1}) + (t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2})(\frac{t_3}{t_4} + \frac{t_4}{t_3}), \\
Q_3 &= (t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1})(t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4}) + (t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2})(t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3}) + (\frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1})(\frac{t_3}{t_4} + \frac{t_4}{t_3}), \\
Q_4 &= (t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2})(t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4}) + (t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3})(\frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1}) + (t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1})(\frac{t_3}{t_4} + \frac{t_4}{t_3}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Obtaining the dual ∇ is to delete the vertices of Δ, which corresponds to setting parameters γ_i equal to zero except one. One of defining curve for the mirror of (1,4) model is [3]

$$P = 1 + \gamma_1(t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1} + t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2} + t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3} + t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1} + \frac{t_3}{t_4} + \frac{t_4}{t_3}). \tag{2.4}$$

In ordinary cases of toric varieties, you may find the Picard-Fuchs equation for the period integral $\int \Pi \frac{dt_i}{t_i} \frac{1}{P}$ following the Griffiths-Dwork method. Differently it seems difficult to do in this case. So we first turn to find an exact form of the fundamental period ω_0 by picking up simple poles of period integral. Residues at $t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = t_4 = 0$ are calculated by expanding $1/P$ as

$$\frac{1}{P} = \sum \binom{n}{i} \left(t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1} + t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \frac{t_2}{t_1}\right)^i \left(t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3} + t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4} + \frac{t_3}{t_4} + \frac{t_4}{t_3}\right)^{n-i} z^n. \tag{2.5}$$

Fundamental period is

$$\omega_0 = \sum \binom{n}{i} \binom{i}{l_1} \binom{i-l_1}{l_2} \binom{l_1}{k_1} \binom{l_2}{k_2} \binom{i-l_1-l_2}{k_3} \cdot \binom{n-i}{p_1} \binom{n-i-p_1}{l_2} \binom{p_1}{m_1} \binom{p_2}{m_2} \binom{n-i-p_1-p_2}{m_3} z^n \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$l_1 = i - 2k_3 - 2k_2,\ l_2 = i - 2k_1 - 2k_2,$$
$$p_1 = n - i - 2m_3 - 2m_2,\ p_2 = n - i - 2m_1 - 2m_2. \tag{2.7}$$

The multiple summations look still hard to derive Picard-Fuchs equation. Then we have recourse to the power of computer. By using *Mathematica* package "Generationgfunctions", we can find a differential equation for series expanded function. First we expand w_0 in *Mathematica* up to high enough orders, such as $O(z^{70})$. Next we apply the command "GuessRE" which derive the recursion equation among the coefficients of series expansion. After deriving the recursion equation, the command "RE2DE" tells us the differential equation for this period. The result is

$$a_4(z)\frac{\partial^4 f}{\partial z^4} + a_3(z)\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial z^3} + a_2(z)\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z^2} + a_1(z)\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} + a_0(z) f = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_4(z) =& -z^3\,(2\,z-3)^2\,(3\,z-1)\,(4\,z-1)\,(4\,z+1)\,(5\,z+1)\,(6\,z+1)\,(12\,z-1)\,,\\
a_3(z) =& -2\,z^2\,(2\,z-3)\,(276480\,z^7 - 478656\,z^6 - 11232\,z^5 + 55844\,z^4 + 1100\,z^3\\
&- 1701\,z^2 - 52\,z + 9),\\
a_2(z) =& -z\,(4976640\,z^8 - 16982784\,z^7 + 14544576\,z^6 + 880992\,z^5 - 1286856\,z^4\\
&- 29468\,z^3 + 26098\,z^2 + 555\,z - 63),\\
a_1(z) =& -(6635520\,z^8 - 23846400\,z^7 + 22194432\,z^6 + 610656\,z^5 - 1445856\,z^4\\
&- 12968\,z^3 + 17532\,z^2 + 156\,z - 9),\\
a_0(z) =& -48\,z\,\left(34560\,z^6 - 130464\,z^5 + 132120\,z^4 + 284\,z^3 - 6182\,z^2 + 9\,z + 36\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

This Picard-Fuchs equation is found in the database on the web site [15], though corresponding record number is not manifest there. For later convenience, we define the ratio of coefficients as

$$r_3(z) = \frac{a_3(z)}{a_4(z)},\ r_2(z) = \frac{a_2(z)}{a_4(z)},\ r_1(z) = \frac{a_1(z)}{a_4(z)}. \tag{2.10}$$

Classical Yukawa coupling

$$K_c[z] = \frac{6(3-2z)}{(1-3z)(1-4z)(1+4z)(1+5z)(1+6z)(1-12z)} \tag{2.11}$$

is basically a quantity $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\int r_3(z)\,dz)$. To check the consistency for Calabi-Yau, we see that

$$\frac{1}{2}r_2(z)r_3(z) - \frac{1}{8}r_3(z)^3 + r_2'(z) - \frac{3}{4}r_3(z)r_3'(z) - \frac{1}{2}r_3''(z) - r_1(z) = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

The local property of the solutions of Picard-Fuchs equation is summarized the P symbol as follows.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ccccccccc} -1/4 & -1/5 & -1/6 & 0 & 1/12 & 1/4 & 1/3 & 3/2 & \infty \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 4 \end{array}\right\} \tag{2.13}$$

From Picard-Fuchs equation, we can have other three independent solutions besides ω_0

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 =& \log z \cdot \omega_0 + \Omega_1(z), \\ \omega_2 =& (\log z)^2 \cdot \omega_0 + 2\log z \cdot \Omega_1(z) + \Omega_2(z), \\ \omega_3 =& (\log z)^3 \cdot \omega_0 + 3(\log z)^2 \cdot \Omega_1(z) + 3\log z \cdot \Omega_2(z) + \Omega_3(z), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where polynomial part of above solutions $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \Omega_3$ are obtained order by order as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(z) =& z + \frac{31}{2}z^2 + \cdots \\ \Omega_2(z) =& \frac{2}{3}z + \frac{31}{6}z^2 + \cdots \\ \Omega_3(z) =& -4z - \frac{25}{2}z^2 + \cdots . \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Using *Mathematica* you can get these Ω_i up to orders you need. It is also possible to derive these four periods directly from Picard-Fuchs equation with aid of the software *Maple*. The command "dsolve" with options "series" and "$z = 0$" gives you four independent series solutions up to orders you define, for example "Order := 30".

2.2 Monodromy

In this model, there are seven singular points $z = \frac{1}{3},\ \frac{1}{4},\ \frac{1}{12},\ 0,\ -\frac{1}{6},\ -\frac{1}{5},\ -\frac{1}{4}$. It seems complicated to find complete monodromy behavior around every singular point. Since periods we have here are obtained by series expansion around the origin up to finite orders, we can't anticipate the analytic property enough to determine the monodromy matrices, by continuation to other singular points. Then, following the literature [12] , we have to determine the monodromy by numerical calculation with suitable approximations.

The first step is to choose a reference point p in items of each singular point. Next for each of the singular points z_i, we choose a piecewise linear loop starting and ending at the reference point p and enclosing only one singular point. Using the *Maple* function "dsolve" with options "numeric, method = gear, relerr= 10^{-15}, abserr = 10^{-15}" and "Digits := 100", we can numerically integrate the differential equation along these paths. Comparing integrated solutions to original ones at p yields the monodromy matrices with respect to an arbitrary basis and produces fully filled 4×4 matrices. The result will be recognized as the integer matrices with the precision of this calculation.



Figure 1: a loop from p to p

Symplectic basis we adopt here is

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_0^P &= \omega_0, \\
\omega_1^P &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\omega_1 \\
\omega_2^P &= -\frac{c_2}{24}\,\omega_0 + \alpha\,\frac{1}{2\pi i}\omega_1 + \frac{K}{2}\,\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\omega_2, \\
\omega_3^P &= -\frac{\zeta(3)c_3}{(2\pi i)^3}\,\omega_0 - \frac{c_2}{24}\,\frac{1}{2\pi i}\omega_1 - \frac{K}{6}\,\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\omega_3,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where K is Yukawa coupling, c_2 is second Chern class [1], $c_3 = \chi$ is Euler number of Calabi-Yau manifold we consider here, and α is a constant which will be determined. So far this solution is nothing but of the model on $X^{8,44}$ with Yukawa coupling 216, second Chern class 144, and Euler number -72. As is well known, there is an undetermined overall factor for ω_3^P here, and this would be fixed from the topological informations of Calabi-Yau manifold. In the models which admit freely acting group, these topological numbers will be reduced simultaneously by moding out symmetries while their ratios will be kept. So we would like to use this degree of freedom so that Euler number in this case will be reduced $\chi = -6$, and all monodromy matrices will be kept integral.

With this basis, monodromy around the origin is given by

$$M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{K}{2}+\alpha & K & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{c_2}{12}-\frac{K}{6} & -\frac{K}{2}+\alpha & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.17}$$

A key combination of topological numbers to notice is $\frac{c_2}{12} + \frac{K}{6}$ when we reduce χ. Also we choose α suitable so that elements of monodromy matrices will be integers, otherwise we set $\alpha = 0$.

As the result, we can choose indices $K,\ c_2,\ c_3$ with $\alpha = 0$ as

$$K = 18,\ c_2 = 12,\ c_3 = -6, \tag{2.18}$$

and monodromy matrices M_{z_i} around $z = \frac{1}{3},\ \frac{1}{4},\ \frac{1}{12},\ 0,\ -\frac{1}{6},\ -\frac{1}{5}, -\frac{1}{4}$ are, respectively,

[1] In mathematical literature, Yukawa coupling K is often denoted as H^3, and c_2 here is denoted as $c_2 H$.

$$
\begin{pmatrix} -11 & -12 & -12 & 48 \\ 3 & 4 & 3 & -12 \\ -3 & -3 & -2 & -12 \\ -3 & -3 & -3 & 13 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -11 & 0 & -12 & 72 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & -12 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & 13 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 12 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 9 & 18 & 1 & 0 \\ -4 & -9 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -35 & -96 & -24 & 48 \\ 18 & 49 & 12 & -24 \\ -72 & -192 & -47 & 96 \\ -27 & -72 & -18 & 37 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -71 & -180 & -60 & 144 \\ 30 & 76 & 25 & -60 \\ -90 & -225 & -74 & 180 \\ -36 & -90 & -30 & 73 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.19)
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix} -35 & -72 & -36 & 108 \\ 12 & 25 & 12 & -36 \\ -24 & -48 & -23 & 72 \\ -12 & -24 & -12 & 37 \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Is is easy to check the consistency as

$$
M_{\frac{1}{3}} M_{\frac{1}{4}} M_{\frac{1}{12}} M_0 M_{-\frac{1}{6}} M_{-\frac{1}{5}} M_{-\frac{1}{4}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.20)
$$

Above values of indices could be read from the analysis done by [3], however the conditions that matrix elements for monodromy have to be integral, appear to be able to determine these quantity.

2.3 Bi-linear form

There is another way to find above indices by explicit evaluation of periods. Bi-linear form on periods $Bi(f, g)$ was invented as a tool to enumerate the symplectic relations among period integrals [13].

Let us consider some anti-symmetric differential operators $\partial^k \wedge \partial^{k'}$ acing to the solutions

$f,\ g$ of Picard-Fuchs equation as

$$\partial^k \wedge \partial^{k'}(f,g) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial^k f \cdot \partial^{k'} g - \partial^{k'} f \cdot \partial^k g) \tag{2.21}$$

where ∂^k are the k-th order differential operator with respect to moduli parameter. For periods $\{f_{\alpha_i},\ g_{\beta_j}\}$ obtained by integration along the symplectic homology basis $\{\alpha_i,\ \beta_j\}$, we can make bi-liner form acting on these periods to have the same symplectic structure as homology cycles

$$Bi(f_{\alpha_i}, g_{\beta_j}) = -Bi(g_{\beta_j}, f_{\alpha_i}) = \delta_{i,j},\ Bi(f_{\alpha_i}, f_{\alpha_j}) = Bi(g_{\beta_i}, g_{\beta_j}) = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

up to normalization. This can be carried out by setting $Bi(f,g)$ to be some linear combination of $\partial^k \wedge \partial^{k'}$, and imposing $\partial\, Bi(f,g) = 0$ associated to Picard-Fuchs equation for periods. Using the ratios of coefficients of Picard-Fuchs equation, we take $Bi(f,g)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} Bi(f,g) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\int r_3(z)dx\right)\Big\{&\partial \wedge \partial^2(f,g) - 1 \wedge \partial^3(f,g) - \frac{1}{2}r_3(z)\, 1 \wedge \partial^2(f,g) \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial r_3(z) + \frac{1}{4}r_3(z)^2 - r_2(z)\right) 1 \wedge \partial(f,g)\Big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Especially in the model, explicit evaluations around the origin show that

$$Bi(\omega_0, \omega_3) = -2,\ Bi(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{2}{3}, \tag{2.24}$$

and all other combinations vanish.

Using $Bi(f,g)$ on a solution around conifold point, we can estimate topological indices $c_1,\ c_2,\ K$ with Euler number $\tilde{\chi}$ of mirror manifold. In this model, conifold solutions around $z = \frac{1}{12}$ constitute of four kinds of functions whose leading behaviors $(z - \frac{1}{12})^s$ are of $s = 0, 1, 1, 2$. We denote the polynomial solution with $s = 1$ as ω_c, which is

$$\omega_c = 24u - 288u^2 + 3264u^3 - 35712u^4 + \frac{1965312}{5}u^5 - \frac{21731328}{5}u^6 + \cdots \tag{2.25}$$

where $u = z - \frac{1}{12}$. The topological indices can be obtained by using the ratio of bi-linear

forms on a period ω_c and periods around the origin as

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{18\tilde{\chi}\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{Bi(\omega_1, \omega_c)}{Bi(\omega_3, \omega_c)}, \\ c_2 &= -\frac{18\tilde{\chi}\zeta(3)}{\pi^2} \cdot \frac{Bi(\omega_2, \omega_c)}{Bi(\omega_3, \omega_c)}, \\ K &= 6\tilde{\chi}\zeta(3) \cdot \frac{Bi(\omega_0, \omega_c)}{Bi(\omega_3, \omega_c)}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

with $\tilde{\chi} = -c_3$. Denominators are needed for correct normalization. Periods w_i $(i = 0, 1, 2, 3)$ behave well around the origin and bad around the conifold point $z = \frac{1}{12}$. Conversely, a period ω_c behaves bad around the origin and good around the conifold point. So we expect these quantities behave like constants in the intermediate region between the neighborhood of origin and the neighborhood of conifold point. With help of *Mathematica* or *Maple*, we can estimate the value of above expression by plotting from $z = 0$ to $\frac{1}{12}$. Results for c_2, K and c_1 with $\tilde{\chi} = -c_3 = 6$ are shown in fig.1, fig.2, and fig.3, respectively.



Figure 2: c_2



Figure 3: K



Figure 4: c_1

The values of plateau parts of above results are same as the ones obtained from the monodromy matrices.

2.4 Instanton calculation

Next we demonstrate the mirror symmetry to calculate the instanton numbers in genus 0 [16] and genus 1 [17] in topological string theory. After compactification, we have following

expansion for the partition function in topological string theory on Calabi-Yau manifold

$$F = \sum_{g=0} \lambda^{2g-2} F_g. \tag{2.27}$$

For genus 0 case [16], we have the formula for the quantum Yukawa coupling as the triple derivative of free energy

$$\partial_t^3 F_0 = K + \sum_{i=1} \frac{a_i i^3 q^i}{1-q^i} \tag{2.28}$$

where a_i's are instanton numbers of the topological string for genus 0. In order to calculate these instanton numbers we use the mirror map. As usual, we set flat coordinate

$$t = \frac{\omega_1(z)}{\omega_0(z)} = \log z + \frac{\Omega_1(z)}{\omega_0(z)}. \tag{2.29}$$

We define the variable $q = e^t$ and invert this relation to express z in terms of q as $z(q)$. Quantum Yukawa coupling is given by the the transformation of classical Yukawa coupling $K_c[z]$ from z coordinate to q as

$$\partial_t^3 F_0 = \frac{1}{z(q)^3} \left(\frac{\partial z(q)}{\partial q} \right)^3 K_c[z(q)] \frac{1}{\omega_0(x(q))^2} \tag{2.30}$$

Equating (2.32) and (2.43), and expanding in terms of q, we find instanton number a_i.

i	a_i
1	6
2	15
3	30
4	114
5	522
6	2529
7	12636
8	69744
9	405168
10	2449773
11	15261150
12	97808574

13	641284110
14	4287838548
15	29153498904
16	201163103922
17	1406107987374
18	9941935540692
19	71017384630734
20	511976000663130
21	3721663648494978
22	27257992426100979
23	201015705767041110
24	1491738880927589808
25	11134231701698352462

For genus 1 case, a derivative of free energy is the quantity we want to know

$$\partial_t F_1 = -\frac{c_2}{24} + \sum_i (b_i + \frac{a_i}{12}) \frac{q^i \cdot i}{1 - q^i} \tag{2.31}$$

where b_i's are instanton numbers of genus 1. To compute $\partial_t F_1$, we follow the analysis of holomorphic anomaly [17], and use the formula

$$\partial_t F_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \log \left(\frac{z^{1-\frac{c_2}{12}} Dis[z]}{\omega_0^{4-\frac{c_3}{12}} \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}} \right). \tag{2.32}$$

Here, we will use the an ansatz that $Dis[z]$ will be the weighted discriminant of the model. In well known examples with one moduli, we use a factor in the discriminant part as $(z - z_c)^{-\frac{1}{6}}$ where z_c are conifold point of the model, since the monodromy matrix around conifold point is usually set to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case we have different behavior around conifold as (2.19) because of effects by taking quotient of freely acting group. Our proposal for $Dis[z]$ in this case is the following

$$Dis[z] = \prod_i (z - z_i)^{-\frac{\lambda_i}{6}} \tag{2.33}$$

where exponent $-\frac{\lambda_i}{6}$ will be determined for the monodromy matrix around the corresponding singular points by following method. Suppose I is 4×4 identity matrix, and M_{z_i} is the monodromy matrix around z_i where we take standard order of symplectic basis as $(\omega_0^P,\ \omega_2^P,\ \omega_1^P,\ \omega_3^P)^T$, then $M_{z_i} - I$ will be expressed by using a certain integral vector (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) as

$$M_{z_i} - I = -\lambda_i \begin{pmatrix} -v_4 \\ v_3 \\ -v_2 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) \tag{2.34}$$

From this we can read off λ_i for each z_i. In this model, we have

$$(z_i, \lambda_i) = (\frac{1}{12}, 12), (\frac{1}{4}, 2), (\frac{1}{3}, 3), (-\frac{1}{4}, 12), (-\frac{1}{5}, 1), (-\frac{1}{6}, 3), (0, 0). \tag{2.35}$$

With these exponents, we can calculate instanton numbers in genus 1. Validity for the choice of $Dis[z]$ will be checked whether all b_i's are integers or not.

i	b_i
1	7
2	41
3	233
4	1393
5	10121
6	72022
7	518960
8	3878268
9	29437440
10	225911060
11	1750966967
12	13694924062

13	107873536349
14	854827410657
15	6809292590762
16	54489457053320
17	437778784226585
18	3529641546245282
19	28547903108757361
20	231550298613514152
21	1882881825812617783
22	15346314478913958426
23	125342659401860309785
24	1025721457879954913034
25	8408667562177554413449

Before closing this section, we mention that we can produce same results by using the original defining curve eq.(2.1) with reduced parameterization, say $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = 0$, and with the period integral $\int \prod dt_i ds_i \frac{1}{pqr}$.

3 Mirror transformations of (1,5), (1,3), (1,1) model

3.1 Six manifolds with quaternionic symmetry

In this section, we discuss three models with small Hodge numbers such as (5,1), (3,1), (1,1) by restricting the parameter of model coming from the manifolds $X^{4,68}$. For this manifold it is possible to write a defining polynomial that is transverse, as well as invariant and fixed

point free under the group $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $\mathbb{H} = \{1, i, j, k, -1, -i, -j, -k\}$ is the quaternion group [2]. There are $3^4 = 81$ tetraquadric monomials in the s_α where $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$. One of these is the fundamental monomial, $\Pi_{\alpha\in\mathbb{H}} s_\alpha$, that is invariant under the full group. Of the other 80 monomials, 40 are even under $(s_\alpha, s_{-\alpha}) \to (s_\alpha, -s_{-\alpha})$ and 40 odd. The 40 even monomials fall into five parameter family of invariant polynomials. We change the variable as $s_1 s_{-1} = t_1,\ s_i s_{-i} = t_2,\ s_j s_{-j} = t_3,\ s_k s_{-k} = t_4$, five invariant polynomials are

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_1 &= \left(t_1\, t_2 + \frac{1}{t_1\, t_2}\right)\left(t_3\, t_4 + \frac{1}{t_3\, t_4}\right) + \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2}\right)\left(\frac{t_4}{t_3} + \frac{t_3}{t_4}\right), \\
P_2 &= \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2}\right)\left(t_3\, t_4 + \frac{1}{t_3\, t_4}\right) + \left(t_1\, t_2 + \frac{1}{t_1\, t_2}\right)\left(\frac{t_4}{t_3} + \frac{t_3}{t_4}\right), \\
P_3 &= \left(t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3}\right)\left(t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4}\right) + \left(t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1}\right)\left(t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2}\right), \\
P_4 &= \left(t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2}\right)\left(t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4}\right) + \left(t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1}\right)\left(t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3}\right), \\
P_5 &= \left(t_1 + \frac{1}{t_1}\right)\left(t_4 + \frac{1}{t_4}\right) + \left(t_2 + \frac{1}{t_2}\right)\left(t_3 + \frac{1}{t_3}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.36}
$$

Manifolds which are defined by these polynomials have been found in [2]. $X^{4,68}$ modulo $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a model with Hodge numbers (1,5), because $\chi = -128/(8 \times 2) = -8$, which is smallest combination of Hodge numbers constructed on this manifold. The model we consider here are given by defining polynomial as

$$
f = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{5} c_i P_i. \tag{3.37}
$$

In this section, we pursuit the possibility that the mirror model of (1,$h^{2,1}$) with $h^{2,1} \leq 5$ would be obtained by suitable restriction of parameter c_i's of the above curve reducing the number of moduli to 1. It is natural to get the mirror of (1,5) model with above curve because it is just the restriction of defining curve of (1,5). Besides this case, it is interesting to get mirror models of (1,3) and (1,1) with the family of this curves associated with invariant polynomial on $X^{4,68}$.

3.2 Mirror transformation of (1,5)

First we consider the model defined by one of P_i's, for example,

$$f = 1 + cP_3. \tag{3.38}$$

We denote this model as (5,1) because the indices we find below will be $\tilde{\chi} = 8$. Fundamental period ω_0 of this model is calculated in the same way as in previous section. It is easy see that the curve with any P_i will produce the same fundamental period. We have Picard-Fuchs equation for periods, whose coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} a_4(z) &= z^3(64z-1)(16z-1), \\ a_3(z) &= 6z^2 - 640z^3 + 10240z^4, \\ a_2(z) &= 7z - 1172z^2 + 25344z^3, \\ a_1(z) &= 1 - 424z + 14592z^2, \\ a_0(z) &= -8 + 768z. \end{aligned} \tag{3.39}$$

This Picard-Fuchs equation is "AESZ 16" in the database [15]. The local property of the solution is given by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 1/64 & 1/16 & \infty \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 & \frac{3}{2} \end{array} \right\} \tag{3.40}$$

Monodromy matrices are found as following form with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$

$$M_{\frac{1}{64}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 16 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$M_{\frac{1}{16}} = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 8 & -16 & 64 \\ 2 & -1 & 4 & -16 \\ 1 & -1 & 3 & -8 \\ -1 & 1 & -2 & 9 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.41}$$

Monodromy around ∞ is not trivial in this case, so including this contribution we can check the consistency as $M_0 M_{1/64} M_{1/16} M_\infty = I$. With this result we see that indices of this model are

$$K = 3,\ c_2 = 6,\ -\tilde{\chi} = c_3 = -8. \tag{3.42}$$

These are checked directly by using bi-linear form $Bi(f, g)$.

Using classical Yukawa coupling

$$K_c[z] = \frac{3}{(1-16z)(1-64z)} \tag{3.43}$$

we can calculate genus 0 instanton numbers a_i.

i	a_i	13	17788009827334944
1	12	14	497375443061477076
2	60	15	14145255850235272728
3	644	16	408279490665349434096
4	9216	17	11938435093860094144356
5	157536	18	353131094729321849805456
6	3083604	19	10553174109736271978455644
7	66250884	20	31829631579527411034902 4768
8	1522656816	21	96803498709621481189414 42064
9	36850292240	22	29663704901609752556012 1350484
10	929119768416	23	91525758141564313197685 82760780
11	24217533456516	24	28417818660437340532530 4089538064
12	648807231571968	25	88745134553641108117185 56119122168

From the monodromy matrices, we also have the exponents of singular points of the weighted discriminant for the genus 1 free energy

$$\log dis[z] = -\frac{1}{6}\left\{\log(1-16z) + 16\log(1-64z)\right\}. \tag{3.44}$$

Genus 1 instanton numbers b_i are

i	b_1
1	62
2	944
3	23418
4	617181
5	17548056
6	519858096
7	15862425890
8	494434015977
9	15664741989264
10	502692670367672
11	16299569600798954
12	533034159955717583

13	17556644191335142120
14	581797187945487716872
15	19381087602033473111548
16	648582779031746543753753
17	217917367510654562071432 58
18	73478031285832022741150 6408
19	24853931064859179585102463230
20	84306901090005741393204705 4080
21	28670876616718121788361190305616
22	97729323032837543339186251135 8528
23	33382975124863651007753794577850158
24	11425181079496480082195387695419 41587
25	39171513766427556729463448532706463028

3.3 A candidacy model as a mirror of (1,3)

Next we consider the mirror model whose defining curve is made out of two kinds of invariant polynomials $\{P_i\}$. We anticipate to have some extra symmetry $\mathbb{Z}_2$ to reduce Euler number from -8 to -4 by combining $\{P_i\}$. Recently in [10] the (1,3) model has been discovered as a quotient $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on $X^{1,65}$, which may be related to the model we are going to construct here. The criteria to adopt a combination for defining curve as the mirror model of (1,3) are following;

1. derived Picard-Fuchs equation which is satisfied by calculated period integral is of 4th order equation and of Calabi-Yau type.

2. assuming Euler number $\chi = -4$, monodromy matrices are all integral and consistent.

For defining the model there would be several possibilities. For example, combinations such as $\{P_1, P_2\},\ \{P_3, P_4\},\ \{P_3, P_5\},\ \{P_4, P_5\}$ would become Calabi-Yau manifolds whose Hodge number could not be small.

A choice we take here is

$$f = 1 + c(P_1 + 2P_3 + 8). \tag{3.45}$$

Due to the relation

$$P_1 + 2P_3 + 8 = \left(t_1\, t_2 + \frac{1}{t_1\, t_2} + 2\right)\left(t_3\, t_4 + \frac{1}{t_3\, t_4} + 2\right) + \left(\frac{t_2}{t_1} + \frac{t_1}{t_2} + 2\right)\left(\frac{t_4}{t_3} + \frac{t_3}{t_4} + 2\right), \tag{3.46}$$

and by changes of variables, this curve is expressed as follows

$$f = 1 + c\left((\zeta_1 + \frac{1}{\zeta_1})^2(\zeta_2 + \frac{1}{\zeta_2})^2 + (\xi_1 + \frac{1}{\xi_1})^2(\xi_2 + \frac{1}{\xi_2})^2\right). \tag{3.47}$$

The quadratic form of this curve may enhance the symmetry of the models. We do not investigate here singularities and degrees of freedom of deformations corresponding to this model in detail, we anticipate that three polynomials $\{P_1+2P_i+8\}$ $(i = 3, 4, 5)$ would define $(1, 3)$ Calabi-Yau space somehow. We would like to refer the model defined by eq.(3.47) as the mirror of (1,3) due to Euler number $\tilde{\chi} = -c_3$ coming from the monodromy as we will see below.

Picard-Fuchs equation is expressed by following coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
a_4(z) =& z^3(1-32z)(16z-1)^2(32z-3)^2,\\
a_3(z) =& -2z^2(16z-1)(32z-3)(81920z^3-14336z^2+688z-9),\\
a_2(z) =& -z(-63+9132z-410240z^2+7860224z^3-66977792z^4+209715200z^5),\\
a_1(z) =& 9-3000z+188928z^2-4259840z^3+38797312z^4-125829120z^5,\\
a_0(z) =& -(512z-24)(16384z^3-4096z^2+336z-3).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

This operator is found as "AESZ 23" in the database [15]. The local properties of the solutions are read as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1/32 & 1/16 & 3/32 & \infty \\ \hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1/2 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1/2 & 3 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & 1 & 4 & 2
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.49}$$

Using bi-linear form, we first estimate the relation between $K,\ c_2$ and c_3 numerically

$$K = -3c_3, \quad c_2 = -3c_3. \tag{3.50}$$

Next we analyze monodromy behavior around $z = 0,\ \frac{1}{32},\ \frac{1}{16}$ with unknown c_3 as

$$M_{\frac{1}{32}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{32}{c_3} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{2}c_3 & -3c_3 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{4}c_3 & \frac{3}{2}c_3 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$M_{\frac{1}{16}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -8 & 0 & \frac{64}{c_3} \\ -1 & 3 & \frac{4}{c_3} & -\frac{32}{c_3} \\ -\frac{c_3}{2} & 0 & 3 & -8 \\ -\frac{c_3}{4} & \frac{c_3}{2} & 1 & -7 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.51}$$

where we set $\alpha = 0$. This result shows that indices for this models must be

$$c_3 = -4,\ K = 12,\ c_2 = 12,\ \tilde{\chi} = 4. \tag{3.52}$$

This is the reason why we refer this model as the mirror of (1,3).

One of strange things in this model is that monodromy matrix around $z = \frac{1}{16}$ does not have standard form, and its square becomes the one we expected

$$M_{\frac{1}{16}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 0 & -8 & 32 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & -2 & 9 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.53}$$

Classical Yukawa coupling is

$$K_c[z] = \frac{4(3 - 32z)}{(1 - 16z)^2(1 - 32z)}, \tag{3.54}$$

therefore we have genus 0 instanton numbers a_i as

i	a_i	13	1400292243840
1	16	14	17143914174524
2	52	15	213484884447264
3	176	16	2697997276049144
4	1112	17	34542569526333232
5	9344	18	447367338559094512
6	79420	19	5853717440568978896
7	735408	20	77303742587189634752
8	7426680	21	1029391625209701923520
9	78932672	22	13811360059974386521148
10	871171744	23	186585097601501528151824
11	9941092528	24	25365778211518954067855 76
12	116637185736	25	34683844685464450280801952

For genus 1 case, we have to get the exponents of singular points of $Dis[z]$ from monodromy matrices. As we have mentioned, monodromy around $z = \frac{1}{16}$ are not usual, so we propose that logarithm of $Dis[z]$ would be

$$\log Dis[z] = -\frac{1}{6}\left\{\lambda_1 \log(1-16z)^2 + \lambda_2 \log(1-32z)\right\}, \tag{3.55}$$

where λ_1 is the exponent from $M^2_{\frac{1}{16}}$. With exponents $\lambda_1 = 2,\ \lambda_2 = 8$, the result for genus 1 instanton calculation is

i	b_i	13	177583895318624
1	8	14	2579924022491086
2	82	15	37674030557685648
3	856	16	552612289406933025
4	10321	17	8137788233521859928
5	128864	18	120255275347028012752
6	1677110	19	1782584199002075687048
7	22506040	20	26497544221536391150192
8	308025697	21	394868645365328468752512
9	4282495040	22	58977917707668145865633334
10	60292530504	23	882729964764718009767277 52
11	857470990104	24	13237046781766458273909455 47
12	12296761625755	25	19884364383541833676997550064

Before closing this subsection we mention about results given by similar calculations based on mirror transformations in two different models known as (1,3) in [2, 18]. First model is a quotient $\mathbb{Z}_{10} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ constructed on $X^{5,45}$. Following the literature, defining curve for a mirror of this model would be

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 =& 1 + a_1(t_4t_5 + t_5t_1 + t_1t_2 + t_2t_3 + t_3t_4) + a_2(t_3t_5 + t_4t_1 + t_5t_2 + t_1t_3 + t_2t_4) \\ &+ a_3t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5(\frac{1}{t_1} + \frac{1}{t_2} + \frac{1}{t_3} + \frac{1}{t_4} + \frac{1}{t_5}), \\ p_2 =& t_1t_2t_3t_4t_5 + a_1(t_1t_2t_3 + t_2t_3t_4 + t_3t_4t_5 + t_4t_5t_1 + t_5t_1t_2) \\ &+ a_2(t_1t_2t_4 + t_2t_3t_5 + t_3t_4t_1 + t_4t_5t_2 + t_5t_1t_3) + a_3(t_1 + t_2 + t_3 + t_4 + t_5). \end{aligned} \tag{3.56}$$

with restriction $a_1 = a_2 = 0$. We can derive Picard-Fuchs equation (AESZ 34 [15]), and expect consistent result for $\chi = -4$ with $K = 6,\ c_2 = 6$. However, monodromy matrices can not be integral, thus we would conclude this is a mirror of (1,5) model of $\chi = -8$ with $K = 12,\ c_2 = 12$.

Second one is the model on $X^{19,19}$ modulo $\mathrm{Disc}_3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_4$. In the literature , explicite

curve to define a mirror of this model is not found, so we propose following form

$$p = 1 + s_0s_1 + s_1s_2 + s_2s_0, \quad q = 1 + t_0t_1 + t_1t_2 + t_2t_0,$$
$$r = s_0s_1s_2t_0t_1t_2 + c(s_0t_0 + s_1t_1 + s_2t_2 + s_0t_1 + s_1t_2 + s_2t_0 + s_0t_2 + s_1t_0 + s_2t_1). \tag{3.57}$$

Calculations lead us to Picard-Fuchs equation (AESZ 103 [15]), and results about monodromy and instanton calculations done by mirror transformation are all consistent for $\chi = -4$ with $K = 3,\ c_2 = 6$.

3.4 Mirror transformation of minimal model (1,1)

The model which has minimal Hodge numbers is (1,1). This model is originally found by studying 24-cell in [7]. A example of curve to define this model in $\mathbb{C}^8$ is

$$\begin{aligned} p = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{8} x_i + x_1x_3 + x_1x_5 + x_2x_6 + x_3x_7 + x_3x_5 + x_6x_8 + x_1x_3x_7 \\ + x_3x_6(x_1 + x_3 + x_4 + x_5 + x_6 + x_7 + x_8 + x_3x_6) + \varphi x_3x_6, \end{aligned} \tag{3.58}$$

with identifications

$$\begin{aligned} x_1x_3 = x_2x_4, x_1x_5 = x_4x_6, x_1x_7 = x_2x_6, x_1x_8 = x_2x_5 = x_3x_6 = x_4x_7, \\ x_2x_8 = x_3x_7, x_3x_5 = x_4x_8, x_5x_7 = x_6x_8. \end{aligned} \tag{3.59}$$

Reducing the number of variables by using above identifications from eight to four, and changing variables, effective curve would be

$$\begin{aligned} f = 1 + c\Bigg(& s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + s_4 + \frac{1}{s_1} + \frac{1}{s_2} + \frac{1}{s_3} + \frac{1}{s_4} \\ & + s_2s_3 + \frac{1}{s_2s_3} + \frac{s_1}{s_4} + \frac{s_4}{s_1} + \frac{s_1}{s_2} + \frac{s_2}{s_1} + \frac{s_3}{s_4} + \frac{s_4}{s_3} \\ & + \frac{s_1}{s_2s_3} + \frac{s_2s_3}{s_1} + \frac{s_1}{s_2s_4} + \frac{s_2s_4}{s_1} + \frac{s_4}{s_2s_3} + \frac{s_2s_3}{s_4} + \frac{s_4}{s_1s_3} + \frac{s_1s_3}{s_4} \Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{3.60}$$

where moduli $c = 1/\varphi$

As this minimal model (1,1), we propose alternative definition of curve made out of invariant polynomials $\{P_i\}$ on $X^{4,48}$

$$f = 1 + c(P_3 + P_4 + P_5). \tag{3.61}$$

This is unique definition of using three kinds of invariant polynomials with Z_3 symmetry. This definition is different from the curve (3.78), however we can show that periods, monodromy matrices, and instanton numbers obtained by both definitions are completely same.

From the series expansion of fundamental period, we have Picard-Fuchs equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
a_4(z) =& -z^3(8z+1)(24z-1)(3z+1)(4z+1)(12z+1)(1+18z)^2,\\
a_3(z) =& 6z^2+204z^3-1948z^4-184248z^5-3322944z^6-26476416z^7\\
&-95551488z^8-125411328z^9,\\
a_2(z) =& 7z+164z^2-8310z^3-455148z^4-8595936z^5-77054976z^6\\
&-319997952z^7-483729408z^8,\\
a_1(z) =& 1-14z-5574z^2-274788z^3-5818176z^4-60943104z^5\\
&-300589056z^6-537477120z^7,\\
a_0(z) =& -384z-22752z^2-606528z^3-7921152z^4-48771072z^5\\
&-107495424z^6.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.62}$$

This is nothing but "AESZ 366" in the database [15]. The local property for periods is summarized as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{cccccccc}
-1/3 & -1/4 & -1/8 & -1/12 & -1/18 & 0 & 1/24 & \infty \\ \hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right\} \tag{3.63}$$

Monodromy matrices are found as

$$M_{\frac{1}{24}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 24 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$M_{-\frac{1}{12}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, M_{-\frac{1}{8}} = \begin{pmatrix} -23 & -48 & -48 & 72 \\ 16 & 33 & 32 & -48 \\ -16 & -32 & -31 & 48 \\ -8 & -16 & -16 & 25 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.64}$$

$$M_{-\frac{1}{4}} = \begin{pmatrix} -95 & -144 & -264 & 576 \\ 44 & 67 & 121 & -264 \\ -24 & -36 & -65 & 144 \\ -16 & -24 & -44 & 97 \end{pmatrix}, M_{-\frac{1}{3}} = \begin{pmatrix} -95 & -96 & -288 & 768 \\ 36 & 37 & 108 & -288 \\ -12 & -12 & -35 & 96 \\ -12 & -12 & -36 & 97 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From these matrices, we would read indices of this models with $\alpha = 0$ as

$$K = 4,\ c_2 = 4,\ c_3 = -\tilde{\chi} = 0. \tag{3.65}$$

Apart from previous examples, we are not able to check by bi-linear form $Bi(f, g)$ in this case, because $\tilde{\chi} = 0$.

Curious results appear about genus 0 instanton numbers. Following same procedure as before, and using classical Yukawa coupling

$$K_c[z] = \frac{4(1 + 18z)}{(1 + 3z)(1 + 4z)(1 + 8z)(1 + 12z)(1 - 24z)}, \tag{3.66}$$

we find that instanton numbers in genus 0 level for even order become negative.

i	a_i	13	5252822116016
1	12	14	−47718467477584
2	−16	15	890108488876160
3	256	16	−8340130846927456
4	−1012	17	158096635640838140
5	17168	18	−1512328959263997360
6	−102432	19	29129403982340313132
7	1768032	20	−282368793768124234092
8	−12810048	21	5527396080871599103212
9	226260008	22	−53986928091516821971440
10	−1831410544	23	1074486987800843943995916
11	33000429000	24	−10525957761076292523611520
12	−286340050052	25	213137290904593560452816768

For genus 1 instanton numbers, we have to find correct exponents of $Dis[z]$. Direct calculations about monodromy matrices lead us the form of $\log Dis[z]$ as

$$\log Dis[z] = -\frac{1}{6}\{12\log(1+3z) + \log(1+4z) + 8\log(1+8z) + 24\log(1-24z) + \log(1+12z)\} \tag{3.67}$$

Differently from the previous models, this discriminant produces wrong genus 1 behaviors whose instanton numbers are half-integers, such as

$$b_1 = 35,\ b_2 = \frac{753}{2},\ b_3 = 3175,\ b_4 = 45510,\ b_5 = 501917,\ b_6 = \frac{1583609}{2},\ \cdots \tag{3.68}$$

Surprisingly, if we set the coefficient of $\log(1+12z)$ in $\log Dis[z]$ to be 5 mod 6, every genus 1 instanton number becomes integer up to 50th orders.

Lastly we add some comments about results of a model made of another combination of three P_i, whose defining curve is $f = 1 - c(P_1 + P_2 + 4P_3)$, though this is not relevant to the model (1,1). Picard-Fuchs equation of this model looks ordinary Calabi-Yau type (AESZ 107 [15]), however topological indices turn out to be unusual values as $K = 4,\ c_2 = 4,\ \chi = 10$.

Monodromy matrices are consistent, and instanton numbers are all integers in genus 0 and 1 level, however some of them become negative.

4 Conclusion and Discussions

We have presented mirror transformations of Calabi-Yau manifolds whose Hodge numbers (h^{11}, h^{21}) are both small. We have determined the monodromy of the models completely, and enumerated genus 0 and 1 instanton numbers of the models by using weighted discriminant for genus 1 level. Results based on the mirror models of (1,5) and (1,4) are consistent. Since the Yukawa coupling as well as instanton numbers in genus 0 in these quotient models are directly related to the quantities on originated manifolds by division of freely acting group, genus 1 calculations are more significant. We have also proposed the description for mirrors of (1,3) and (1,1) models by using invariant polynomials of (1,5) model. Results in (1,3) case look reasonable, however in minimal case (1,1) negative and half integer value of instanton numbers appear against our expectation. Special treatment might be needed when you calculate instanton numbers in the model with Euler number $\chi \geq 0$.

We attempted as many combinations as possible of invariant polynomials that could be viewed as definitions of mirror models of Calabi-Yau with small Hodge numbers discussed in section 3, however we couldn't find an appropriate one to a mirror of (1,2) model. The extention to include sets of invariant polynomials of (1,4) model on $X^{8,44}$, or (1,3) model on $X^{5,45}$ did not work well so far. It is interesting to recognize how to describe the model (1,2) in a way suggested in [4] and its mirror.

The numerical integration around singular points to fix monodromy behaviors would be applicable to several modulus case. This method may help us to perform mirror transformations for various string compactifications. It is interesting if $(2, 2)$ model could be analyzed in a view point of mirror symmetry transformation by applying methods we discussed here, as well as the conifold transition to other models such as (1,3) and (1,4).

Also investigations to apply these methods to the mirror symmetry with small Hodge numbers in open string theories including D-branes would be interesting.

References

[1] P. Candelas, X. de la Ossa, Y. H. He and B. Szendroi, *Triadophilia: A Special Corner in the Landscape*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 12 429 (2008), arXiv:0706.3134

[2] P. Candelas and R. Davies *New Calabi-Yau Manifolds with Small Hodge Numbers*, Fortsch.Phys. **58** (2010) 383-466, arXiv:0809.4681.

[3] V. Braun, P. Candelas and R. Davies *A Three-Generation Calabi-Yau Manifold with Small Hodge Numbers*, Fortsch.Phys. **58** (2010) 467-502, arXiv:0910.5464.

[4] A. Strominger and E. Witten, *New Manifolds For Superstring Compactification*, Commun. Math. Phys. 101 (1985) 341.

[5] V. Braun *On Free Quotients of Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, JHEP 1104 (2011) 005, arXiv:1003.3235 [hep-th]

[6] P. Candelas and A. Constantin, *Completing the Web of Z3 - Quotients of Complete Intersection Calabi-Yau Manifolds*, arXiv:1010.1878

[7] V. Braun *The 24-Cell and Calabi-Yau Threefolds with Hodge Numbers (1,1)*, JHEP **1205** (2012) 101, arXiv:1102.4880.

[8] R. Davies *The Expanding Zoo of Calabi-Yau Threefolds*, Adv.High Energy Phys. 2011 (2011) 901898, arXiv:hep-th/1103.3156

[9] R. Davies, *Classification and Properties of Hyperconifold Singularities and Transitions*, arXiv:1309.6778 [math.AG]

[10] P. Candelas, A. Constantin and C. Mishra, *Hodge Numbers for CICYs with Symmetries of Order Divisible by 4* , arXiv:1511.01103.

[11] V. Braun, P. Candelas, R. Davies, R. Donagi, *The MSSM Spectrum from (0,2)-Deformations of the Heterotic Standard Embedding*, JHEP 1205 (2012) 127, arXiv:1112.1097 [hep-th]

[12] C. van Enckevort and D. van Straten, *Monodromy calculations of fourth order equations of Calabi-Yau type*, arXiv:math/0412539[math.AG].

[13] T. Masuda and H. Suzuki, *Prepotentials, bilinear forms on periods and enhanced gauge symmetries in Type II strings*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. **A14** (1999) 1177-1204, arXiv:hep-th/9807062

[14] K. Hori, J. Knapp, *Linear Sigma Models With Strongly Coupled Phases – One Parameter Models*, JHEP 1311 (2013) 070, arXiv:1308.6265 [hep-th]

[15] G. Almkvist, C. van Enckevort, D. van Straten, and W. Zudilin, *Tables of Calabi-Yau equations*, arXiv:math/0507430,
Calabi-Yau Operators Database,
http://www.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/CYequations/db/

[16] P. Candelas, X.C. De La Ossa, P.S. Green, L. Parkes *A Pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory*, Nucl.Phys. B359 (1991) 21-74.

[17] M. Bershadsky, S. Cecotti, H. Ooguri, C. Vafa *Holomorphic anomalies in topological field theories*, Nucl.Phys. B405 (1993) 279-304,
Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes , Commun.Math.Phys. 165 (1994) 311-428

[18] P. Bueno, R. Davies and C.S. Shahbazi, *Quantum black holes in Type-IIA String Theory*, JHEP 1301 (2013) 089, arXiv:hep-th/1210.2817